



**SUMMARY OF TELECONFERENCE MEETING #7  
CROSS CUTTING (CC) ISSUES TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP (TWG)  
August 31, 2006**

**Attendance:**

1. TWG Members:
  - Daniel Besse, Local Official
  - Thomas Cecich, Pharmaceutical Consultant
  - Dee Eggers, UNC-Asheville
  - Steve Kalland, NC Solar Center
  - Steve McNulty, USDA - Forest Service
  - Ulla Reeves, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
  - Michael Shore, Environmental Defense
  - Jim Stephenson, NC Coastal Federation
2. NC Department of Air Quality (DAQ):
  - Jim Southerland, Liaison to CC TWG
  - Charles O. Davis
3. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS):
  - Ken Colburn, TWG Co-Facilitator
  - Tom Peterson, CAPAG Facilitator
  - Randy Strait, TWG Co-Facilitator
4. Other Attendees:
  - None

**Background documents:**

(all posted at: [http://www.ncclimatechange.us/Cross\\_Cutting.cfm](http://www.ncclimatechange.us/Cross_Cutting.cfm).)

1. Meeting Notice & Agenda (including provisions for public access)
2. Summary for Call #6 (August 10, 2006)
3. PowerPoint© presentation for meeting
4. Revised Catalog of State Climate Mitigation Options
5. Consolidated Mitigation Options
6. Memo on "Evaluation of Potential Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Options - Guidance for Coordination with States and Consideration of Additional Issues"

**Discussion items and key issues:**

This was the seventh conference call of the CC TWG.

1. Ken Colburn called the meeting to order, completed the roll call and reviewed the agenda and plans for the call.
2. Members of the CC TWG approved without objection the summary for Call #6.
3. Presentation on GHG Goals and Targets: Tom Peterson provided an overview of how other states have developed goals or targets for reducing GHG emissions. Tom noted that states have used either a “top-down” or a “bottom-up” approach for setting goals. The “top-down” approach is a goal or target set by a high-level state official (e.g., the Governor). The “bottom-up” approach is based on what state officials believe can be achieved after evaluating mitigation options for different emission sources and sinks. The TWG asked questions for clarification of their understanding of the presentation. The TWG also asked if CCS could provide information from other states’ experience concerning potential GHG reductions by sector. CCS noted that it can do so readily for one state (Arizona), and that such data may be available soon from New Mexico.
4. Mitigation Options Template: Ken briefly discussed the mitigation option templates that CCS provided to the TWG prior to the call. Each mitigation option has a template outline followed by design characteristics matrix. Ken noted that red highlighted text loaded into the template was simply provided as a starting point for the TWG based on other states’ experience. This text can be modified or deleted as necessary to meet North Carolina’s needs. CCS agreed to facilitate “sign-up” of TWG members to work on preparing straw templates for each option. The members of each TWG “subgroup” will then coordinate to prepare a straw template for each mitigation option for discussion at the next TWG meeting.
5. Discussion on Adaptation Framing: The TWG briefly discussed the best approach to frame (organize) adaptation issues. It was agreed that the matrix that CCS prepared was adequate for identifying the principal areas of concern. The TWG agreed that it should focus on limiting the framing of this option to major areas or sectors likely to be impacted and the nature of such impacts rather than attempting to develop an exhaustive list of impacts. The TWG members that work on this option will review the materials provided by Ulla and focus on how best to frame this option within the time and resource constraints of the TWG. CCS and Janice Godfrey (DAQ) will assist TWG members in framing this option.
6. Agenda for Next Call: The CC TWG will review the templates that members prepare prior to the call. The TWG will also continue its progress on the reporting and registry design options matrices and the education options matrix.
7. Call to the Public: Public participants did not provide any comments.
8. Announcements: CAPAG Meeting #4 will be held October 12, 2006 at the NC State Centennial Campus.

**Next steps:**

1. The next CC TWG call (#8) will be **Wednesday, September 20, from 9:30–11:00 a.m. Eastern time.** Action: CCS will notify the full TWG of the date and time for call #8 and send a reminder out several days before the call.
2. Mitigation Option Template: Action: CCS will distribute to the TWG a Word version of the template shell for use by the TWG in preparing straw proposals.
3. TWG Subgroups: Action: CCS will circulate a file to obtain TWG member preferences for working on mitigation options and then inform the TWG members with whom they will be working to develop the straw proposal template for each mitigation option.
4. Goals/Targets: Action: CCS will provide the TWG with a summary of goals/targets developed by other states.