



**SUMMARY OF TELECONFERENCE MEETING #6
CROSS CUTTING (CC) ISSUES TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP (TWG)
August 10, 2006**

Attendance:

1. TWG Members:
 - Daniel Besse, Local Official
 - Thomas Cecich, Pharmaceutical Consultant
 - Ulla Reeves, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
 - Michael Shore, Environmental Defense
 - Jim Stephenson, NC Coastal Federation
2. NC Department of Air Quality (DAQ):
 - Jim Southerland, Liaison to CC TWG
 - Charles O. Davis
 - Brock Nicholson
 - Janice Godfrey
3. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS):
 - Ken Colburn, TWG Co-Facilitator
 - Randy Strait, TWG Co-Facilitator
4. Other Attendees:
 - Jim Havens, Global Warming Initiatives
 - James DeRosa, Global Warming Initiatives
 - Leslie Coolidge, SC Dept. of Health and Environmental Control

Background documents:

(all posted at: http://www.ncclimatechange.us/Cross_Cutting.cfm.)

1. Meeting Notice & Agenda (including provisions for public access)
2. Summary for Call #5 (June 20, 2006)
3. PowerPoint© presentation for meeting
4. Revised Catalog of State Climate Mitigation Options
5. Design Characteristics Matrix for Inventories and Forecasting
6. Design Characteristics Matrix for Reporting
7. Design Characteristics Matrix for Registries
8. Design Characteristics Matrix for Education

Discussion items and key issues:

This was the sixth conference call of the CC TWG.

1. Ken Colburn called the meeting to order, completed the roll call and reviewed the agenda and plans for the call.
2. Members of the CC TWG approved without objection the summary for Call #5. Daniel Besse noted that he did not have access to the summary before the call and would provide comments after the meeting if he had any.
3. Goals for the CAPAG Meeting #4: Ken briefly reviewed the TWG's goals for the next CAPAG meeting, which are:
 - Consensus recommendations for design characteristics of CC options
 - TWG members draft straw proposals for the design of mitigation options using proposed template
 - Prepare progress update for next CAPAG meeting

Ken then reviewed the mitigation option template (or template) in which the TWG will prepare its "straw proposal" based on the elements from the design characteristics matrix for each option. For each mitigation option, Ken explained that the TWG should strive to clearly define (1) "what" the design elements are, and (2) "how" each option will be implemented. Several TWG members noted that additional funding will be needed for DAQ to support the development of, for example, GHG inventories and forecasts. The DAQ currently receives no funding from the state legislature; it should not be assumed that the state legislature will provide funding in the future. The TWG agreed to explore a range of funding opportunities (e.g., permit fees or other fee-based mechanisms) during the development of the design characteristics for each option. Having noted these implementation concerns, the TWG agreed that implementation issues should not limit its considerations in developing recommendations for the design of an option.

4. Catalog: For inventories and forecasting, the TWG agreed to combine CC-1.1 (Establish GHG emission inventory function at DAQ) and CC-1.2 (Establish GHG emissions forecasting function within State Government) based on the TWG's decision during Call #5 to recommend that both the inventory and forecasting functions be established within DAQ.
5. For education, the TWG agreed to moving Catalog options 4.1 through 4.16 to the audience-based, design characteristics matrix as shown in the draft matrix that CCS provided to the TWG prior to Call #6. Option 4.17 will be renumbered as option 4.1.
6. Design Characteristics of Inventories and Forecasting: Prior to the call CCS prepared the first design characteristics matrix for this option based on the TWG's initial recommendations during Call #5. It was noted that in the template, it will be important to clearly define the purpose (uses) of the inventory and forecast. The TWG reviewed each design element in the matrix and provided further clarification on several of the elements that will be reflected in the revised matrix and template.

7. Design Characteristics for Adaptation: The TWG agreed to prepare a listing of adaptation issues or concerns in a matrix format and then prepare a perspective on the process for addressing these issues. Examples of issues noted during the call include, but are not limited to, shoreline impacts associated with increase in sea level, agriculture and forestry (changes in crops grown, pest control), water quality and quantity, higher ambient temperatures (potential implications on fuel use for heating homes, shorter seasons for ski resorts). DAQ noted that it is working on developing a proposal for a possible U.S. Department of Energy grant to study this issue next year.
8. Agenda for Next Call: The CC TWG agreed to work on completing the matrices and templates for Reporting, Registry, and Public Education and Outreach during the next call, and to initiate work on developing approaches to Adaptation and Goals.
9. Call to the Public: Public participants did not provide any comments.
10. Announcements: Jim Southerland noted that CAPAG Meeting #4 will be held October 12 at the NC State Centennial Campus.

Next steps:

1. The next CC TWG call (#7) will be **Thursday, August 31, from 9:30–11:00 a.m. Eastern time**. Action: CCS will notify the full TWG of the date and time for call #7 and send a reminder out several days before the call.
2. Catalog: Action: CCS will revise the “Catalog of State Climate Mitigation Options” based on comments received from TWG members during Call #6.
3. Mitigation Option Template: Action: CCS will post a template shell on the website in Word format for use by the TWG in preparing straw proposals.
4. Adaptation Options: Action: CCS will prepare a draft matrix listing adaptation issues by area of concern. Ulla Reeves and Janice Godfrey agreed to provide CCS assistance with preparing the matrix. Other TWG members are encouraged to do so as well.
5. Inventories and Forecasting Options: Action: CCS will revise the design characteristics matrix for inventories and forecasting to incorporate the TWG’s comments provided during Call #6. CCS will also prepare the draft template.
6. Options for Goals or Targets: Action: CCS will provide a presentation of what other states have done to develop goals or targets for reducing GHG emissions. Michael Shore agreed to assist CCS in developing the mitigation option template for this option.