

**Transportation & Land Use Call #5**  
July 18, 2006, 2:00-4:00 PM

**Attendance:**

- **TWG Members:**  
Daren Bakst  
Denise Choy  
Anne Coan  
Len Hoey  
Scott Lane  
Carolyn McCormick  
Lisa Riegel  
Anne Tazewell  
Skip Yeakel
- **NCDAQ Staff:**  
Brock Nicholson  
Phyllis D. Jones  
James Southerland
- **CCS Staff:**  
Will Schroeer
- **Public Members:**  
None

**“Housekeeping” Items:**

- Phyllis Jones proceeded with Roll Call.
- Will Schroeer asked for approval of meeting summary #4.
  - There were no objections.

**Discussion of Voting Results of Options for Priority of Analysis:**

- Will Schroeer reviewed the priorities for analysis options that received the most votes, including votes made as part of bundles.
  - There were 9 options that received 5 or more raw votes. These, suggested Schroeer, might be one scenario for the “high priority for analysis” category.
  - After “bundling” smart growth and transit options, as many voters desired, other options could “move up”. For example, if options that had 4 or more raw votes were considered, 11 options could place in “high priority”.
  - Following that post-bundling scenario, there were 4 options that received 3 or more raw votes, that could be classified as medium.
- Skip Yeakel asked if votes were cumulative and Will Schroeer stated they were not.

- Will Schroeer stressed the options chosen by the TWG are in an advisory capacity to CAPAG.
- Anne Tazewell added there is some redundancy in analyzing some of the options separately.
- During the bundling discussion, Daren Bakst expressed concern of honoring the voting system, members have already voted for their top 10 options based on the original 72. Also, options outside of the top 10 are being considered in some of the proposed bundles.
- Will Schroeer suggested adding transit prioritization to the transit bundle.
- Denise Choy added bundling options should be optimized for policy consideration.
- Will Schroeer suggested sharing the options that received 3 or more votes with the CAPAG.
- Lisa Riegel proposed the following options (some of which are bundles) based on the raw votes for consideration of the top 10 options:
  1. Bundle Smart Growth to include
    - a. Transit-Oriented Development
    - b. Smart Growth Planning, Modeling, Tools, etc.
    - c. Infill, Brownfield Re-development
    - d. Bike/Pedestrian Infrastructure
    - e. Targeted Open Space Protection
  2. Bundle Transit/Transportation to include
    - a. Transit-Oriented Development
    - b. Improve Transit Service
    - c. Bike/Pedestrian Infrastructure
    - d. Expand Transit Infrastructure
    - e. Transit Marketing/Promotion
    - f. Transportation Management System/Design, ITS
  3. Feebates
  4. Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Fee
  5. Truck Stop Electrification
  6. Tailpipe GHG Emissions Standards
  7. Bundle Biofuels to include
    - a. Biofuel (alternative fuel) expansion
    - b. Alternative Fuel Infrastructure
    - c. Renewable Fuels Motor Fuels Tax Exemption/Credit
  8. Procurement of Efficient Fleet Vehicles
  9. Anti-idling
  10. Nuclear Power Generation
- Lisa Riegel added the high priority options are 1-7 based on 4 or more raw votes and the medium priority options are 8-10 based on 3 raw votes.
- Lisa Riegel asked about nuclear power generation with respect to land use.
  - Anne Coan responded it is part of growth management.
- Discussion ensued about the correlation between land use and transportation in this technical working group.

- Another proposal was to rename Using NC land for Nuclear Power Generation to Nuclear Power Generation.
- Anne Coan/Skip Yeakel stated it was their understanding that land use in this context did not necessarily correlate to transportation related issues.
- Will Schroeer clarified that in the context of land use in the T/LU TWG, it is with respect to transportation related issues.
- Lisa Riegel also proposed to present option #10 Nuclear Power Generation to the CAPAG as a divided issue among T/LU TWG members and it is being addressed within the T/LU TWG because it is not included in the ES TWG's top 10 options for priority of analysis and whether T/LU is the appropriate TWG to evaluate nuclear power generation.
- Will Schroeer asked for a vote on the options as outlined by Lisa Riegel.
  - Anne Tazewell felt an addendum should be added to address nuclear power generation.
- Phyllis Jones conducted voting on presenting the top 9 options to the CAPAG.
  - The results were 7 yeas, 1 nay and 1 abstention.
- Phyllis Jones conducted voting on including option 10 with the recommendations to the CAPAG.
  - The results were 6 yeas and 3 nays.

**Public Input:**

- None

**Next Meeting:**

- The next meeting is scheduled for **July 25, 8:30-10:00 AM**