

Transportation & Land Use Call #4
June 29, 2006, 2:00-4:00 PM

Attendance:

- **TWG Members:**

- Daren Bakst
- Denise Choy
- Anne Coan
- Len Hoey
- Scott Lane
- Lisa Riegel
- Anne Tazewell
- Skip Yeakel

- **NCDAQ Staff:**

- Patrick Butler
- Phyllis D. Jones
- Gary Saunders

- **CCS Staff:**

- Lewison Lem
- Will Schroeer

- **Public Members:**

- Michael Juras – SC Dept. of Health and Environmental Control
- Linda Rimer – US Environmental Protection Agency

“Housekeeping” Items:

- Phyllis Jones proceeded with Roll Call.
- Will Schroeer asked for approval of meeting summary #3.
 - There were no objections.
- Will Schroeer introduced the newest member of the TLU TWG-Scott Lane. Scott is the Director of Planning at the Louis Berger Group, Inc.

Review of Balloting

- Will Schroeer briefly restated the objectives:
 - The first step is to narrow down the options with brief statements on costs/benefits.
 - The next step is the balloting process to determine which options will go forward for further analysis.
- Will Schroeer reviewed the votes cast.
 - Less than half of the TWG members have voted as of the call today.
 - Will stated the votes are not on-line because all of the members have not voted. All of the documents on the website are public and he did not want to publicize incomplete data.

- Will extended the voting to the close of business on June 30. Once all of the votes are cast, he will e-mail the results with notes/comments to the TWG members.
 - Currently, 35 out of 72 options were voted for.
 - There was a general consensus among some of the members to bundle several of the options.
 - ◇ Scott Lane asked what is the contribution of off-road vehicles because controlling off-road equipment may be “low hanging” fruit when considering the options.
 - ◇ Anne Coan asked whether or not the RCI TWG is addressing off-road equipment such as construction equipment.
 - Len Hoey replied that the RCI TWG is addressing construction equipment.
 - Denise Choy did a back-of-the-envelope calculation and determined that approximately < 5-6% of transportation comes from off-road.
 - **Action Item**: Will Schroeer will follow-up regarding the percentage of off-road contributions to the total transportation sector.

Priority of Analysis

- Will Schroeer discussed two possible options for moving forward to the analysis phase:
 - CCS can provide rough a cut for options chosen.
 - Since NC is the third state (AZ/NM are the other states) to partner with CCS, some of the analyses are already done and can be tailored to NC.
 - Bundling some of the options as suggested by some of the members.
 - He also stated there are a couple of ways to handle the issue of bundling.
 - He can make recommendations on bundling **or**
 - He can present all of the bundling options to the TWG and let them vote on the options.
 - Daren Bakst said the focus is to move forward to the analysis phase and expressed concern over bundling options. The TWG has already voted and therefore would have to re-vote. He also stated his preference is not to eliminate any options.
 - Denise Choy said the bundled options should be based on possible policy and purpose of analysis and not necessarily on implementation.
 - Scott Lane added the group needed to consider short term and long term yield when considering bundling the options. Also, the group may want to group by marketing strategy, regulation, outreach and incentives.
 - Len Hoey stated the RCI TWG bundled the options before voting which resulted in voting for 10 options as opposed to 24 which made the process easier.

- Will Schroeer proposed to bundle options when necessary and for analysis purposes.
- Denise Choy expressed concern that key options may be eliminated from list due to bundling.
- Will Schroeer stated when the options are bundled, he will add quantification and comments where groupings make sense. Also, the quantifying of options is not the sole responsibility of CCS so he is looking to TWG members to provide assistance in quantifying the options.
- Denise Choy posed the question of what can work in NC politically when considering options.
- Anne Tazewell concurred and said options that are most feasible in NC should be considered.
- Will Schroeer said they will move forward with further developing the options with bundling options where it makes sense.

Public Input:

- Linda Rimer had two comments:
 - Location efficiency really only feasible in the Charlotte area so bundling makes sense.
 - TLU 3.2.1 could provide benefit.

Next Call:

- The next call is scheduled for **July 18, 2:00-4:00 PM**