

Transportation & Land Use Call #3
June 8, 2006, 2:00 – 4:00 PM

Attendance:

- **TWG Members:**
 - Daren Bakst
 - Denise Choy
 - Anne Coan
 - Carolyn McCormick
 - Lisa Riegel
 - Anne Tazewell
 - Skip Yeakel

- **NCDAQ Staff:**
 - Patrick Butler
 - Charles Davis
 - Phyllis D. Jones

- **CCS Staff:**
 - Karl Hausker
 - Lewison Lem
 - Will Schroer

“Housekeeping” Items:

- Phyllis Jones proceeded with Roll-Call.
- Denise Choy asked if she could receive documents in advance due to her summer schedule.
- Will Schroer asked for approval of meeting #2 summary.
 - There were no objections from the members.
- The next meeting is scheduled for **June 29, 2 – 4 pm**

Review of Ranking System:

- Will Schroer reviewed the ranking system of the catalog of options. He reiterated this is an iterative process between TWG and CCS. Karl Hausker stated the group could re-rank the options, if necessary, upon consensus from the group.
 - CCS initially ranked options as a starting point of the process.
 - TWG is to select top 10 options for CAPAG consideration.

- Will Schroeer reviewed the H/M/L ranking system criteria. He stated it is an arbitrary system based on experience from other states. The TWG has the option to modify the ranking system criteria.
- Daren Bakst asked for clarification of emission reduction target year.
 - Will Schroeer responded the reduction goal is for the year specified and not the goal for every year thereafter.
- Will Schroeer stated current ranking system is constructed so as to have a roughly even distribution of low / medium / high options.
- Lewison Lem stated that at this stage, rankings are qualitative because structure has not been established to develop specific programs.
- Denise Choy asked if the current definitions are used in the other TWGs and Will Schroeer answered that it is. He also stated that transportation comprises about 1/3 of the total emissions.
- Skip Yeakel and Anne Tazewell discussed the feasibility of biofuels with respect to potential reductions. Skip stressed that we should not be limited by the current fuel listing.
- Will Schroeer stressed that specific options will need champions if TWG members want a particular option analyzed. It is the responsibility of a member(s) to help define the options they want considered.
- The current options include requested additions to the policy catalog from the last CAPAG meeting held on May 23, 2006.
- Lisa Riegel asked about the Pavley GHG standard with respect to cost savings.
 - Lewison Lem responded it is a tailpipe standard for CO₂e emissions. The upfront costs balance the expected future upkeep and maintenance costs of the vehicle.
- Daren Bakst asked how Pavley is different from the Federal Tier 2 standard?
 - Lewison Lem answered the Tier 2 standard is applicable to criteria air pollutants and Pavley is applicable to CO₂e.
- Anne Tazewell stated there is currently work in progress in NC for a feebate and would like to see this option analyzed.

Inventory/Catalog Review:

- Will Schroeer proceeded to review the catalog of options. Will added the highlighted options were added as a result of feedback from the last CAPAG meeting.
- Denise Choy asked what was the purpose in reviewing the options.
 - Will Schroeer responded the purpose is to equip the TWG members with enough information to move forward with voting on the options so the group can advance to the analysis phase. Currently the group is not bound by choosing the top 10 options. The top 10 are chosen after the analysis phase.
- Lisa Riegel suggested splitting option 1.2.3 into 2 categories, TLU 1.2.3 and in TLU 2.0 Land Use/Location Efficiency.
 - Lisa also stated she would like to see additional analysis of TLU 2.0. Will Schroeer asked Lisa to provide feedback on program implementation and development. She also agreed to be the champion of land use/location efficiency but will need assistance.
- Anne Coan raised concern of future population growth projections, i.e., consideration of aging population.
 - Will Schroeer answered that CCS did not take this into consideration but will add concern to ancillary impacts.
- Skip Yeakel asked about adding an option for nuclear power.
 - Anne Tazewell stated she opposes the option for land use.
 - Will Schroeer stated nuclear power is more appropriate for discussion in the Energy Supply TWG but will add option 2.1.5 Nuclear Power with a ranking of high for emission reduction potential but unsure of the potential cost savings.
- Will Schroeer said option 3.1 has worked well in other areas at a low cost.
- Skip Yeakel stated the cost for option 4.1.4 is very, very high and is not sure of the potential emissions reductions.
- Skip Yeakel agreed to be the champion for ITS systems.
- During the call, Lewison Lem sent an e-mail to the group regarding the CA LEV II standards in response to the inquiries about the Pavley standards.
- Denise Choy asked about the voting process.
 - Will Schroeer responded there will be one vote (yes or no) per member for each option. He would like the votes as soon as possible and will provide a deadline after consultation with Karl Hausker.

Members of the Public:

- None present