



MEETING SUMMARY
Transportation & Land Use (TLU) TWG Call #2
May 5, 2006, 2:00 – 3:30 PM

Attendance:

1. Technical Work Group members:

Daren Bakst
Denise Choy
Anne Coan
Dennis Grady
Marily Nixon
Nina Szlosberg
Anne Tazewell
Jeff Tiller
Lisa Riegel

2. CCS Staff:

Karl Hausker
Lewison Lem
Will Schroeer

3. NCDAQ Staff:

Jim Southerland
Heather Hawkins
Patrick Butler
Phyllis D. Jones
Charles Davis

4. Public Attendees:

None

5. Observers

Michael Juras – SC Dept. of Health and Environmental Control

“Housekeeping” Items:

Determined the next call date and time: **June 8, 2:00-4:00pm**

- The group decided to transform the meeting time to 2 hours.
- *Follow-Up Item:* TWG members will e-mail CCS their recurring meeting times to try and avoid any conflicts when scheduling TWG meetings.
- Jim Southerland suggested sending notification to all members of the changes.

Review of Outstanding Items from Call #1:

Will Schroerer reviewed previous conference call outstanding items

1. Addition of cost savings column to the catalog of options
 - CCS consulted with DAQ regarding this issue. T/LU TWG is the only group requesting a change in the catalog format. Upon discussion with DAQ, the recommendation is to keep the current format to maintain consistency with other TWGs.
 - Marily Nixon shared input and provided feedback on how the current format is working in another TWG. She stated thus far there have not been any issues. She stressed this is the brainstorming phase and the general outline is proving effective during this current phase.
 - Karl Hausker added the current format does not lock anyone in any option at this phase – we are not at a decision making point in the process. He also stated the current format has been effective in other states and TWG groups. He also added that once the ranking is done, costs will include all aspects, both direct and indirect.

Karl also suggested submitting an economic outline memo to the TWG members to help explain the economic analysis.
 - *Action Item:* CCS facilitator will follow-up with Roger Sheats regarding this issue and the consensus of the group to keep the current catalog format.

Inventory/Catalog Review:

1. Karl Hausker reviewed the inventory, projections, and sources.
 - Daren Bakst asked about whether Tier 2 standards are included in inventory analysis and Karl affirmed they are.
 - Karl Hausker asked for additional questions and comments and any available information members may have that can be used to update the inventory.
2. Will Schroerer discussed changes to the catalog based on member feedback. Will acknowledged the feedback he received from Anne Tazewell regarding the latest legislative initiatives. Will also noted the addition of section 3.3.2.

3. Phyllis Jones asked for clarification of TLU 3.1.1. Will Schroeer stated CMAQ funds could be use for additional co-benefits for GHG emissions. Phyllis stated that CMAQ funds can only be used in nonattainment and maintenance areas.
 - Action Item: Phyllis Jones will review SAFETEA-LU provisions of CMAQ funds and provide feedback on updating title for TLU 3.1.1.

Observers:

Michael Juras from South Carolina DHEC introduced himself. Karl Hausker explained the role of South Carolina as observers of this process.

Next Steps:

1. Karl Hausker suggested CCS prepare a revised version of the catalog ranking the options and e-mail a draft copy to the members. T/LU is slightly behind the other TWGs and this will help expedite the process.
2. During the next CAPAG meeting on May 23, CCS will give a report and ask for additional comments/feedback from CAPAG members.
3. Will Schroeer will be the main point of contact for the T/LU TWG.