

**Transportation & Land Use Meeting #11**  
February 13, 2007, 12:00-1:30 PM

**Attendance:**

**TWG Members:**

Darren Baskt  
Daniel Besse  
Anne Coan  
David Farren  
Carolyn McCormick  
Lisa Riegel  
Anne Tazwell

**NCDAQ Staff:**

Phyllis D. Jones

**CCS Staff:**

Will Schroeer  
Wendy Messenger

**Public Members:**

Michael Juras – SC DHEC

**“Housekeeping” Items:**

- Will Schroeer called the meeting to order, conducted roll call, and gave an overview of the purpose of the meeting.
- Will Schroeer asked for comments on Call #10a and #10b summaries to be sent to him via email.

**Summary of CAPAG Meeting #5:**

- Will Schroeer informed the group that TLU only had the floor during the last 5 minutes of the meeting; given the length of the meeting and the amount of material to discuss, it is a challenge to get through all of the topics at the CAPAG meetings
- The CAPAG bundled the 3 biofuels options under one heading.
- The CAPAG advised that TLU-1 needs further refinement.
- The CAPAG raised questions regarding pay-as-you-drive insurance; mandatory versus voluntary needs to be defined.
- Lisa Riegel commented that she thought the only questions raised on TLU-1 were from Paul Sherman. Will Schroeer explained that Paul Sherman explained to him the Farm bureau’s policy to oppose land use regulations.
- The legislative commission has asked for early consensus items. It is likely these will mainly be cross-cutting items. The TWG discussed potential TLU items for early consensus. None had reached that point.
- **ACTION ITEM:** forward the CAPAG summary to the TLU TWG once completed.

### **Review of the first round of policy options analysis:**

- Will Schroeer briefly reviewed the catalog updates of TLU-1 through TLU-13, since a quorum of the TWG was not present.
- A discussion among TWG members occurred on whether TLU 1 policies were too prescriptive. Will Schroeer clarified that the proposal language does not require planning in for a particular outcome, only that planning be done.
  - Darren Baskt is going to consider and possibly recommend other language
  - The TWG discussed the need for longer time frame for analysis; how might these effects change in an analysis timeframe of 2030, for example?
  - **ACTION ITEMS:**
    - Will Schroeer will discuss a longer time frame of analysis with the CAPAG
    - A consensus was reached that inter-city rail should be a separate item. Will also be referred to the CAPAG.
- The TWG discussed the meaning of the “parties involved” category in the catalog analysis, and whether landowners needed to be added as a party in TLU-1, and the implications across the catalog options. It was suggested that the term may need clarification from the CAPAG.
  - **ACTION ITEM:** CCS will add as an agenda item discussion of the “parties involved” terminology.
- In regards to TLU-10, Will Schroeer explained that the TWG has a challenge to establish a range for the fuel tax, and determine the language to examine the costs and benefits of the policy, and clarifying whether the purpose is to raise revenue versus behavior change.

### **Next Steps:**

- Continue analysis of action items, focusing on cost-effectiveness
- Determine “parties involved”

### **Call to the public:**

No public present

### **Upcoming meetings:**

March 13, 2007 from 12:00-1:30 PM

April 10, 2007 from 12:00-1:30 PM

May 22, 2007 from 12:00-1:30 PM