



WWW.NCCLIMATECHANGE.US

**SUMMARY OF TELECONFERENCE MEETING #9
CROSS CUTTING (CC) ISSUES TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP (TWG)
October 26, 2006**

Attendance:

1. TWG Members:
 - Dan Besse, Local Official
 - Ryan Boyles, State Climatologist
 - Tom Cecich, Pharmaceutical Consultant
 - Steve Kalland, NC Solar Center
 - Steve McNulty, USDA - Forest Service
 - Chuck Pickering, Biltmore Estate
 - Ulla Reeves, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
 - Paul Sherman, Farm Bureau
 - Michael Shore, Environmental Defense
 - Jim Stephenson, NC Coastal Federation
2. NC Department of Air Quality (DAQ):
 - Jim Southerland, Liaison to CC TWG
 - Brock Nicholson
 - Janice Godfrey
3. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS):
 - Ken Colburn, TWG Co-Facilitator
 - Randy Strait, TWG Co-Facilitator
4. Other Attendees:
 - None

Background documents:

(all posted at: http://www.ncclimatechange.us/Cross_Cutting.cfm.)

1. Meeting Notice & Agenda (including provisions for public access)
2. Summary for Call #8 (September 20, 2006)
3. PowerPoint© presentation for meeting
4. Consolidated Mitigation Options

Discussion items and key issues:

This was the ninth conference call of the CC TWG.

1. Ken Colburn called the meeting to order, completed the roll call and reviewed the agenda and plans for the call.
2. Members of the CC TWG approved without objection the summary for Call #8.
3. Results of CAPAG Meeting #4: Colburn noted that CAPAG was unable to consider the CC TWG's straw proposals within the time allotted for CAPAG Meeting #4. The CAPAG will meet via teleconference during November to discuss the CC TWG's straw proposals. CCS and DAQ will arrange the date and time for the teleconference call and then inform CAPAG members.

Colburn noted that George Givens provided a brief update on the Legislative Commission on Global Climate Change (LCGCC) meeting held October 3. The LCGCC recognizes and appreciates CAPAG's work, is open to receiving ideas for addressing climate change issues during the 2007 legislative session, and appears to have a particularly strong interest in the Adaptation mitigation option (CC-5).

4. Adaptation: The subgroup provided an update on the straw proposal for adaptation. All of the TWG members agreed that it should recommend this option to CAPAG for approval. This option recommends that the state establish a task force or "blue ribbon" commission to develop a State Climate Change Adaptation Strategy. It was noted that if CAPAG approves and recommends this option to the LCGCC, it will be important to provide examples from the list of adaptation issues in the design characteristics matrix in a format that state legislators are familiar with (e.g., a bullet list of issues with brief explanations, rather than a matrix). It was noted that the TWG can, and should, continue to work on identifying and describing adaptation issues and developing possible recommendations for addressing them.
5. Goals/Targets: It was noted that the LCGCC wants to see the results of the analysis of mitigation options developed by CAPAG before pursuing further work on goals/targets.
6. Education and Outreach: The subgroup group reported that it has met and is preparing revisions to this option.
7. Mitigation Options for Inventories and Forecasting: The TWG approved the straw proposal for this option.
8. Mitigation Options for Reporting: The TWG noted that the straw proposal needs to be revised to acknowledge NC DENR's commitment under the Clean Smokestacks Act to require permitted sources to report GHG emissions starting in calendar year 2008.
9. Mitigation Options for a Registry: The TWG questioned the need for North Carolina to establish its own registry as suggested in the straw proposal. Colburn noted that a state may elect to establish its own registry to offer baseline protection to participants and that existing registries may have limitations (e.g.,

participation limited to certain sources, pollutants, or geographical coverage). It was also noted that developing a clear framework of what it wanted in a registry (or even fully developing its own state registry) would help North Carolina in discussions about joining in multi-state registry efforts, but that this was not necessary in order to join another registry. Limitations to establishing a state registry discussed by the TWG included costs to the state (if costs cannot be fully borne by participants) and risk of low participation. Some TWG members felt that it is important for a registry to allow participation by all sources, require third-party verification of emissions, and allow for the aggregation of emissions for small sources or sinks. The TWG favored revising the straw proposal toward joining an existing registry and away from recommending that North Carolina create its own registry.

10. Agenda for Next Call: Review results of CAPAG meeting to be held via teleconference during November and review progress on developing the straw templates for each mitigation option.
11. Call to the Public: There were no public participants.
12. Announcements:
 - The CAPAG will hold a meeting via teleconference during November to discuss CC mitigation options as well as options for Agriculture, Forestry, and Waste because CAPAG was unable to fully consider the options during the time allotted for CAPAG Meeting #4 on October 12, 2006.
 - CAPAG Meeting #5 is scheduled for January 24, 2007.

Next steps:

1. The next CC TWG call (#10) will be **Wednesday, November 29, from 9:00–10:30 a.m. Eastern time**. Action: CCS will notify the full TWG of the date and time for call #10 and send a reminder out several days before the call.
2. Mitigation Option Template for Education and Outreach, Adaptation, and Goals/Targets: Action: TWG subgroup members will continue working on developing the straw proposal and will update the TWG during its Nov. 29 meeting.
3. Mitigation Option Template for Reporting, and Registries: Action: CCS and DAQ will revise the straw proposals as noted above and will update the TWG during the Nov. 29 meeting.